# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	) ) )
ROBERT IRA KAHN, M.D.	) Case No. 800-2016-024384
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G33338	) ) )
Respondent	) ) )

# **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 21, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED February 19, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By:

Ronald Lewis, M.D., Chair

Panel A

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
2	MARY ČAIN-SIMON Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	GREG W. CHAMBERS Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 237509 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	·
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3382	
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant	
7		DE THE
8	BEFORE THE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER A FEATING	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-024384
11	ROBERT IRA KAHN, M.D.	Case No. 800-2016-024384
12	6139 California Street San Francisco, CA 94121	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
13	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14	33338	,
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public	
18	interest and the responsibility of the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer	
19	Affairs, the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order	
20	which will be submitted to the Board for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the	
21	Accusation.	
22	<u>PARTIES</u>	
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
24	of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in	
25	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Greg W. Chambers	
26	Deputy Attorney General.	
27		
28		•

2. Respondent Robert Ira Kahn, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Robert W. Hodges Esq., whose address is: 3480 Buskirk Ave., #250, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

3. On or about November 22, 1976, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 33338 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2016-024384, and will expire on January 31, 2020, unless renewed.

# <u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2016-024384 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on February 21, 2018. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2016-024384 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

# ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2016-024384. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

## **CULPABILITY**

9. Respondent agrees that each charge and allegation in Accusation No 800-2016-024384, if proven at a hearing, would subject his Physician and Surgeons Certificate to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

### **CONTINGENCY**

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

## A. <u>PUBLIC REPRIMAND</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Robert Ira Kahn, M.D.'s Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, No. G 33338, shall be and hereby is public reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This public reprimand is issued in connection with Respondent mistakenly informing a patient that there was

a high chance that a mass was malignant when it actually had a lower malignancy rate; and his failure to convert to an open procedure to control bleeding once it was clear that the robotic techniques were not preventing blood loss, as set forth in Accusation No. 800-2016-024384.

#### В. **EDUCATION COURSES**

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than forty (40) hours. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be completed by Respondent within twelve (12) months and focus on preoperative assessment, and responding to intraoperative bleeding and complications and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

If Respondent fails to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the education courses within the designated time period, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until he has completed the required courses. Failure to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the courses within the designated time period shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

/// 23

24 ///

25 ///

/// 26

/// 27

///

28

2 3 4

6

5

8

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

## ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Robert W. Hodges Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 10/29/18

ROBERT IRA KAHN, M.D

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Robert Ira Kahn, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

10/31/18

ROBERT W. HODGES ESO Attorney for Respondent

# **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: 10/31/2018

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MARY CAIN-SIMON

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

GREG W. CHAMBERS
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2016-024384

		•
1 2	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MARY CAIN-SIMON	FILED
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GREG W. CHAMBERS	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237509	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO FOLILLARY 21,2018
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	BY ALL ALL SANALYST
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5723 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant	
7		r Tur
8	BEFORE THE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMED A FEATURE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-024384
.12	Robert Ira Kahn, M.D. 2100 Webster Street, #222	ACCUSATION
13	San Francisco, CA 94115	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 33338,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PARTIES	
19	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer	
21	Affairs (Board).	
22	2. On November 22, 1976, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's	
23	Certificate Number G 33338 to Robert Ira Kahn, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and	
24	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
25	herein and will expire on January 31, 2020, unless renewed.	
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following	
28	laws. All section references are to the Business a	nd Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
	I	

- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
  - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
  - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
  - "(d) Incompetence.

**٠** ۶

## **FACTS**

- 6. At all times relevant to this matter, Respondent was licensed and practicing medicine in California.
- 7. Patient P-1,<sup>1</sup> a 55 year old man, was referred to Respondent by his primary care physician after a CT scan of his abdomen and pelvis with contrast showed a 1.8 cm lesion at the upper pole of his left kidney. The radiologist's impression was that it "may represent solid renal neoplasm versus hyperdense cyst." He recommended further evaluation with a renal mass protocol CT or MRI of the abdomen, without and with contrast.
- 8. Respondent saw P-1 on December 4, 2015 and discussed partial nephrectomy with him which he noted was probably what P-1 needed and which would be set up to be done later in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The patient is designated in this document as Patient P-1 to protect the privacy of his family. Respondent knows the name of the patient and can confirm his identity through discovery.

the month. He documented that P-1 was scheduled for a CT urogram the following week and that he would get back to P-1 after he'd reviewed the results.

- 9. On December 11, 2015, P-1 had a CT scan of his abdomen and pelvis with and without contrast. The impression of the radiologist was "[h]yperattenuating lesion of left renal upper pole shows no definite contrast enhancement. This may be a hyperdense cyst. Suggest surveillance by CT or MRI."
- 10. Instead of surveilling the lesion for changes over time, Respondent scheduled P-1 for a robotic assisted arthroscopic left partial nephrectomy.
- 11. The surgery was scheduled for January 7, 2016. In Respondent's January 7, 2016 history and physical for P-1, he stated that P-1 was found to have a 1.8 cm left upper pole solid mass consistent with renal cell carcinoma with probably an 80% to 90% chance of malignancy.
- 12. Surgery commenced at approximately 8:15 a.m. January 7, 2016. Respondent was at the robotic console performing the material aspects of the surgery and was responsible for all decision-making before and during the surgery.
- 13. Respondent put in five laparoscopic ports—three for a camera, monopolar scissors, and fenestrated forceps, respectively, and two accessory ports for the assisting surgeon's use. In attempting to expose the hilar area, Respondent reflected the colon off the kidney and immediately entered Gerota's fascia, exposed the surface of the kidney, and proceeded to dissect out the vessels in the direction of the aorta instead of staying outside Gerota's fascia until the colon was reflected past the area where the aorta and vena cava should be and proceeding from there, resulting in a lack of exposure that obscured the location of the aorta.
- 14. At numerous points in the surgery, Respondent used the monopolar scissors to cauterize directly onto small vessels or tissues closely adhered to the surface of the renal artery without first lifting them away.
- 15. Approximately an hour and a half into the surgery, Respondent swept over the surface of the renal artery wall with the tips of the seissors and the patient began to bleed.
- 16. Respondent called for vascular surgery assistance and attempted to hold pressure on the artery with the robotic instruments until vascular surgery help arrived. At the same time,

Respondent made prolonged and continued attempts to explore the area to identify the source of the bleeding and to place clips to stop it. The bleeding continued, however, and P-1 received at least 26 units of blood intraoperatively and another 40 units of blood postoperatively.

17. Respondent did not immediately convert to an open procedure to manually stanch the bleeding but waited over an hour and a half until vascular surgeons arrived before converting.

# **CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Gross Negligence and/or Repeated Negligent Acts and/or Incompetence)

- 18. Respondent Robert Ira Kahn, M.D. is guilty of unprofessional conduct and subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivisions (b) (gross negligence) and/or (c) (repeated negligent acts) and/or (d) (incompetence), of the Code in that he engaged in the conduct described above including, but not limited to, the following:
- A. Respondent assumed that the hyperattenuating lesion seen on the CT scan was a solid renal mass even though it showed no contrast enhancement.
- B. Respondent failed to document his reasons for disagreeing with the radiologist's suspicion that P-1's lesion was a hyperdense cyst and the radiologist's recommendation to follow the lesion with CT scan or MRI.
- C. Respondent advised P-1 that there was a high chance that his mass was malignant—Respondent documented an 80 to 90% risk—when a hyperattenuating non-enhancing renal lesion like P-1's has a very low malignancy rate, approaching 0%.
- D. Respondent failed to convert to an open procedure in an effort to control ongoing bleeding once it was clear that the robotic techniques were not preventing blood loss.

### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 33338, issued to Robert Ira Kahn, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Robert Ira Kahn, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

(ROBERT IRA KAHN, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2016-024384